

Prospects for Employing Lithium Copper Phosphates as High-Voltage Li-Ion Cathodes

Published as part of *The Journal of Physical Chemistry virtual special issue "D. D. Sarma Festschrift"*.

Rebecca C. Vincent, Jimmy-Xuan Shen, Molleigh B. Preefer, Justin Lin, Fabian Seeler, Kerstin Schierle-Arndt, Kristin A. Persson,* and Ram Seshadri*

 Cite This: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01406>

 Read Online


ACCESS |

 Metrics & More

 Article Recommendations

 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Three compositions of lithium copper phosphates: Li_2CuPO_4 , $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$, and $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ have been studied as potential high-voltage cathode materials for Li-ion batteries, following computational predictions of high operating voltages. An assisted-microwave preparation of Li_2CuPO_4 , which is otherwise difficult to prepare in nearly pure form, has been developed. The electrochemical performance of all three compounds has been investigated. The cyclability of these materials is found to be poor due to structural changes, irreversible reduction to metallic copper at potentials as high as 2.5 V, and the possibility of dissolution into the electrolyte. Some general understanding in regard to the use of Cu compounds in redox electrodes is presented.



INTRODUCTION

Since the commercialization of lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) in the early 1990s, there has been a continual demand to improve their energy density, which would allow for smaller and lighter portable electronics, and for improved range and performance in electric vehicles. However, the principal chemistry has changed very little since the original LIB; graphite is still employed as the anode, and the most commonly used cathode is a variant of the originally used LiCoO_2 , notably $\text{Li}(\text{Mn},\text{Co},\text{Ni})\text{O}_2$ (NMC), with the advantage that the use of toxic and geopolitically fraught Co is partially replaced by Mn and Ni.¹ Depending on the precise composition, NMC has an average operating voltage of about 3.7 V and a gravimetric capacity approaching 200 mAh g^{-1} .² New electrode materials, and especially new cathode materials with higher operating voltages and higher capacities are necessary to build cells with increased energy density compared to current technologies.^{2,3}

One strategy to improve the energy density of the cathode is to increase the voltage by replacing oxygen anions with polyanions within the crystal structure. For example, phosphates exhibit higher voltages than oxides for the same transition metal redox couples, which can be explained by an inductive effect. Electronegative phosphorus atoms share a common oxygen with the transition metal and attract electrons from the oxygen. Thus, the metal–oxygen bond becomes more ionic, and the voltage of the cathode increases versus lithium.⁴ The increased voltage in this case is a trade-off with capacity: polyanions are bigger and heavier compared to O^{2-} .

Olivine-structured transition metal phosphates have been extensively researched as LIB cathode materials. LiFePO_4 was proposed as an inexpensive, nontoxic alternative to LiCoO_2 in 1997.⁵ While initially limited by ionic and electronic conductivity,⁶ the performance was significantly improved through nanostructuring and carbon-coating LiFePO_4 .^{7–13} The material has been commercialized, and a capacity of 160 mAh g^{-1} can be accessed at normal rates.^{9,10} However, the average operating voltage of LiFePO_4 is limited to around 3.45 V by the $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ redox couple, which limits the energy density.^{5,14}

Mn, Co, and Ni are the three transition metals besides Fe that form olivine structures with PO_4^{3-} . Padhi, Nanjundaswamy, and Goodenough originally reported that they were unable to electrochemically extract lithium from LiMnPO_4 , LiCoPO_4 , or LiNiPO_4 olivines.⁵ Since then, cycling has been demonstrated in LiCoPO_4 and LiMnPO_4 .^{15,16} Pure LiNiPO_4 has not been experimentally characterized because of difficulties in sample preparation without major impurity phases such as $\text{Li}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ and Ni_3P ,¹⁷ and because typical carbonate-based electrolytes are not compatible with the predicted high operating voltage of 5.2 V.⁶ LiCoPO_4 has a high

Received: February 15, 2021

Revised: May 2, 2021




Figure 1. Reported structure of (a) $\text{Li}_{2.05}\text{Cu}_{0.95}\text{PO}_4$ projected nearly down the a -axis and (b) the structure projected nearly down the c -axis.¹⁹ The structure of (c) $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ projected nearly down the b -axis. (d) The structure of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ projected nearly down the a -axis.

discharge voltage of 4.8 V; however, it contains Co which is expensive, and demonstrates a low capacity of 70 mAh g^{-1} .¹⁵ LiMnPO_4 is more promising, demonstrating a practical capacity of 140 mAh g^{-1} and a discharge voltage plateau at 4 V.¹⁶ However, stable cycling was not demonstrated past 50 cycles, and there is still some concern that a Jahn–Teller lattice distortion in Mn^{3+} could lead to capacity fade in longer-term cycling.^{16,18}

Combining the most electronegative, redox-active, first-row transition metal and a phosphate polyanion, lithium copper phosphates appear to be the ideal, high-voltage cathode candidate. However, lithium copper phosphates have been largely untested as cathode materials. Some work has been done to study Li_2CuPO_4 , which has a theoretical gravimetric capacity of over 150 mAh g^{-1} when utilizing the $\text{Cu}^+/\text{Cu}^{2+}$ redox couple.^{4,19} As calculated in prior work⁴ and shown experimentally,¹⁹ it is difficult to isolate this phase in the Li_3PO_4 – Cu_3PO_4 quasi-binary system. The structure of the desired compound was modeled from single crystal and powder diffraction data, as represented in Figure 1a,b.¹⁹ These initial results suggest a structure with 1D diffusion pathways for lithium ions. (Note: the structure is quite different from an olivine, and Cu is tetrahedrally coordinated, unlike Fe which is octahedrally coordinated in LiFePO_4 .) Some initial galvanostatic cycling results were obtained between 3 and 4 V at a rate of $C/30$, and a voltage plateau was observed at a high voltage (about 3.9 V).¹⁹ However, upon further charging, less than one tenth of a lithium ion was extracted per formula unit, and even fewer were reinserted into the structure upon discharge.¹⁹

Here we study three different compositions of lithium copper phosphates: Li_2CuPO_4 , $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ (Figure 1c), and $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ (Figure 1d). Since the exact cation positions at different degrees of Li insertion are not known *a priori*, we use a general cation insertion algorithm²⁰ to determine the atomic structure at all stages of charge, which enables us to predict the voltage and capacity of the materials using first-principles calculations. We report a new assisted-microwave preparation of Li_2CuPO_4 and investigate the origins of its poor electrochemical performance. We also synthesize $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ and investigate their electrochemical properties for the first time.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Assisted-Microwave Preparation of Li_2CuPO_4 . First, $\text{Li}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ was prepared by hand-grinding a 1:1 molar ratio of Li_2CO_3 (Sigma, $\geq 99\%$) and $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$ (Sigma, $\geq 98\%$) for 20 min in an agate mortar and pestle. A pellet of the mixture was then placed on a powder bed of the same composition in an alumina crucible, heated to $250 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 10 h, then to $800 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h and ramped down to room temperature in a box furnace.²¹ The powder X-ray diffraction pattern fit of the

resulting pure $\text{Li}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ is provided in the Supporting Information (SI) in Figure S1.

The microwave preparation of Li_2CuPO_4 was adapted from the solid-state furnace methods described in a prior work.¹⁹ Cu_2O (Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 99.9\%$) and $\text{Li}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ were ground with an agate mortar and pestle in a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio until the mixture was homogeneous, about 20 min. The powder was then pressed into a 6 mm diameter pellet, approximately 200 mg. The pellet was sealed into an approximately 10 cm long fused silica ampule under vacuum. The ampule was placed at the center of an alumina crucible and buried under 78 g of activated charcoal as described by Levin et al.²² The entire crucible was enclosed by thermal insulation housing made from alumina fiberboard, and the assembly was placed off-center in a 1200 W Panasonic microwave. Many varying microwave procedures were attempted, and the highest purity product was obtained by heating the sample with a power of 720 W for 9 min, at which point the entire alumina fiberboard housing was removed from the microwave. The ampule was extracted and left to cool in air. The temperature of the charcoal was immediately measured at approximately $700 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ by placing a thermocouple in the center of the crucible where the ampule was. The sample likely reached higher temperatures inside the microwave; however, the temperature cannot be measured continuously during the reaction because a thermocouple in the microwave could result in a fire or explosion. An air-free reaction for the second step was necessary because Cu_2O is not thermally stable in air. This is also why a two-step reaction was necessary: the Li_2CO_3 and $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$ had to first be calcined in air to eliminate excess C, O, N, and H. The reddish color of the product is consistent with the desired Cu^+ oxidation state in the target compound.

Solid-State, Furnace Synthesis of Li_2CuPO_4 , $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$, and $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$. In addition to the microwave method, Li_2CuPO_4 was prepared in a box furnace. Following the initial steps of the microwave preparation, the pelletized mixture was sealed under vacuum into a fused silica ampule and held at $650 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 72 h.

Polycrystalline $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ was synthesized from a ground stoichiometric mixture of Li_2CO_3 (Sigma, $\geq 99\%$), $\text{Cu}_2\text{O} \cdot 0.5 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ (Alfa Aesar 98%), and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{HPO}_4$ (Sigma, $\geq 98\%$) in a molar ratio of 1:1:2 respectively. The powder was heated in an alumina crucible at $300 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 3 days under Ar gas flow with two intermediate grinding steps. The powder was then pressed into 6 mm pellets and heated at $600 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h under Ar gas flow resulting in a light blue product. This method was adapted from a reported procedure that was used to make polycrystalline $\text{Li}_2\text{CoP}_2\text{O}_7$.²³ A prior attempt to repeat a different synthesis method to make $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ resulted in a lower purity product.²⁴

Polycrystalline $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ was prepared based on a method described in the literature starting from a stoichio-

metric mixture of Li_2CO_3 (Sigma, $\geq 99\%$), CuO (Aldrich, 99.99%), and $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$ (Sigma, $\geq 98\%$) ground with an agate mortar and pestle.²⁵ The powder was placed in an alumina crucible and heated at 600 °C overnight, reground, and heated overnight again at 600 °C, and finally pressed into 6 mm pellets and heated in the furnace at 800 °C for 48 h. The resultant product showed a blue color, indicative of the desired Cu^{2+} oxidation state.

Microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on the pristine and ball milled powders was conducted using an FEI Nova Nano 650 FEG SEM at 5 kV with a spot size of 3.

Electrochemical Characterization. The electrochemical performance of the three lithium copper phosphates was characterized using Swagelok-type cells assembled in an argon-filled glovebox ($\text{H}_2\text{O} \leq 0.1$ ppm, $\text{O}_2 \leq 0.1$ ppm) using polished Li foil as a combined counter and reference electrode. Active material was ball milled with carbon black (TIMCAL Super P) for 20 min in a 7 cm³ stainless steel ball mill canister and then hand-ground with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE with average particle size of 1 μm from Sigma-Aldrich) such that the final electrode composition was 60% active material, 30% carbon black, and 10% PTFE binder. The high content of carbon black made it easier to characterize this electronically insulating class of compounds. Ten millimeter diameter thick film electrodes were prepared by pressing pellets from the mixture using a hydrostatic pressure of 1.5 tons, and resulted in a loading of active material between 15 mg cm⁻² and 20 mg cm⁻² for each cell. Whatman GF/D glass microfiber filters were used as the separator, and cells were flooded with 1 M LiPF_6 in ethylene carbonate and dimethylcarbonate (EC/DMC 50/50 v/v, Sigma-Aldrich) electrolyte.

Cells were cycled using a BioLogic VMP1 potentiostat predominantly at a slow rate of C/60, an exception being the faster cycling that was required during the *operando* X-ray diffraction experiments described below. Rates were calculated for a full charge and discharge defined as one Li exchanged per Cu atom in the cathode. For $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$, this would correspond to all the Cu^{2+} ions becoming Cu^{3+} upon charging, and for Li_2CuPO_4 and $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ reacting fully between Cu^+ and Cu^{2+} and vice versa.

Operando. X-ray diffraction was collected using a custom Swagelok-type cell with a Be window approximately 120 μm thick, which allows X-ray penetration. The active material was ground with SuperP and PTFE (60% active material, 30% SuperP, and 10% PTFE) and pressed into a thin 15 mm pellet which was placed directly onto the Be window. $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ was cycled against Li foil using a BioLogic SP-200 potentiostat at a C/10 rate with a Whatman glass fiber separator flooded with 1 M LiPF_6 in EC/DMC (Sigma-Aldrich). A pattern was collected every 20 min during the charge and discharge.

Powder X-ray Diffraction. Powder X-ray diffraction and *operando* X-ray diffraction data were collected using a laboratory-source Panalytical Empyrean diffractometer with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation in reflection geometry. Rietveld analysis was performed using Topas Academic v6.²⁶ Patterns were refined against previously experimentally determined structures for Li_2CuPO_4 ,¹⁹ $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$,²⁴ and $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$.²⁵ Crystal structures are depicted using VESTA.²⁷

Computational Approach. First-principles density-functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP).²⁸ The exchange-correlation contribution to the total energy was calculated using the generalized-gradient approximation functional of

Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE).²⁹ The determination of the exact simulation settings, the orchestration of the calculations, and the aggregation of the results are carried out using the atomate computational framework.³⁰ The stable Li positions in the crystal structure at all states of charge are determined using a charge-density based cation insertion algorithm.²⁰ The voltage is given by the change of the total energy of the electrode material with lithium content.^{31,32} Using the DFT total energies from our collection of Li inserted structures, the voltage is defined between any two stable lithium concentrations (x_1 and x_2) as

$$V(x) = -\frac{E(\text{Li}_{x_2}\text{electrode}) - (\text{Li}_{x_1}\text{electrode}) - (x_2 - x_1)E(\text{Li})}{(x_2 - x_1)F}$$

$$\forall x_1 < x < x_2 \quad (1)$$

where $E(\text{Li}_{x_i}\text{electrode})$ is the DFT total energy of an entry on the convex hull of the subset of materials where the host lattice was not significantly perturbed by the insertion of Li.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inspiration to study these particular phosphate compositions came from DFT predictions specifically targeting high-voltage, high-capacity electrode materials. Table 1 gives the predicted

Table 1. Computational Predictions for Energy Density, Average Operating Voltage, and Gravimetric Capacity of Lithium Copper Phosphate Compounds

compound	theoretical gravimetric energy density (Wh kg^{-1})	predicted average insertion voltage (V)	theoretical gravimetric capacity (mAh g^{-1})
$\text{LiCu}^{\text{III}}\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ — $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$	490	4.60	107
$\text{LiCu}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4$ — $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}\text{PO}_4$	606	3.90	156
$\text{Li}_2\text{CuCu}_5^{\text{II}}(\text{PO}_4)_4$ — $\text{Li}_7\text{Cu}_5^{\text{I}}(\text{PO}_4)_4$	N/A	N/A	188

average insertion voltage and theoretical gravimetric capacity for $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ and Li_2CuPO_4 . In contrast, it was calculated that five Li ions (corresponding to a Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ reaction) could not be stably inserted into the $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ structure. This likely indicates that the material undergoes a conversion mechanism instead of intercalation.

$\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$. The structure of $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ is shown in Figure 1c, exhibiting an open structure with tunnel-like cavities.²⁴ This is the first demonstration of synthesizing $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ via solid-state methods using copper oxalate, lithium carbonate, and diammonium phosphate as precursors (see Figure 2a for the powder X-ray diffraction pattern fit). Using this method resulted in submicrometer to 10 μm diameter particles as shown in Figure 2b. $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ is an electronically insulating material (light blue in color), so the material was ball milled with carbon black prior to electrochemical testing (see Figure 2c).

During initial galvanostatic cycling, as shown in Figure 3a, $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ was charged to 4.8 V and then discharged to 1 V at a very slow rate of C/60, resulting in a long discharge plateau between 1.75 and 1.6 V. This plateau likely corresponds to a conversion reaction during which the copper is reduced to its metallic form as more lithium is incorporated into the cathode. Subsequent cycling failed, indicating that the low voltage




Figure 2. (a) Rietveld refinement fit of the powder X-ray diffraction pattern of the $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$, synthesized via solid-state methods. (b) Scanning electron micrograph of as-synthesized $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ particles ranging in size from submicrometer to $10\ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter. (c) Scanning electron micrograph of $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ after ball milling with carbon black prior to electrochemical testing. Ball milled particles range in size from submicrometer to $1\ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter.

reaction is irreversible. Figure 3b shows cycling between 4.8 and 1.7 V at a rate of $C/60$. A high-voltage oxidation plateau is present at the predicted voltage of 4.6 V (as given in Table 1). The detrimental low voltage plateau was not totally eliminated, so the lower potential was further limited to 2 V as shown in Figure 3c. Figure 4 shows XRD patterns measured every 20 min during a charge and discharge cycle of $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$. Upon charging, two new XRD peaks emerge, one near 15 degrees and the other near 25 degrees. These peaks diminish but do not disappear completely upon discharge, indicating structural irreversibility. A heat map highlighting the changes in X-ray diffraction pattern peak intensity during cycling is provided in the SI (Figure S2). $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ did not achieve a meaningful reversible capacity during any of the cycling experiments. By charging first, Li is removed from $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ corresponding to Cu^{2+} becoming Cu^{3+} , an oxidation state of Cu which is difficult to reach through electrochemical cycling. (Cu^{3+} containing compounds are rare, and often require extreme oxidizing conditions during preparation.^{33,34}) During the *operando* experiment, a capacity corresponding to only about 0.35 Li

extracted per formula unit of active material was measured. This could be why no lattice distortions were observed (no peak shift) during extraction and insertion of Li. Furthermore, carbonate-based electrolytes exhibit increasing degradation above a voltage of about 4.3 V. Oxidation of the electrolyte could be contributing some of the observed high-voltage capacity, as well as negatively impacting the reversibility of cycling.

Li_2CuPO_4 . The approximate structure of Li_2CuPO_4 is given in Figure 1a,b.¹⁹ Motivation for using microwave preparation arose from the reported difficulty of isolating different stable phases in a furnace.¹⁹ The highest purity, 90%, was achieved with 9 min of microwave heating at 720 W, and the Rietveld refinement is shown in Figure 5a. SEM images of the microwave product before and after ball milling with carbon black are given in Figure 5b,c. Before milling, the majority of particles range in diameter from 1 to $10\ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, and after ball milling with carbon black the particles range in size from submicrometer to $3\ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter. The most successful furnace synthesis attempt yielded 73% purity, with 17% of the sample identified as a related phase with higher lithium content, $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Cu}_{0.4}\text{PO}_4$.¹⁹ The Rietveld refinement fit of the furnace product is given in the Supporting Information (Figure S3), along with SEM images before and after ball milling with carbon black.

Figure 6 compares galvanostatic cycling behavior of the microwave-prepared Li_2CuPO_4 to the furnace-prepared Li_2CuPO_4 . Differences in capacity achieved by these cells can be explained by the difference in purity of the active material that was achieved with the microwave versus in the furnace. Li_2CuPO_4 could not be cycled above 3.5 V: cells regularly failed to charge above this potential. Thus, the computationally predicted average voltage of 3.9 V (given in Table 1) could not be reached. Cell failure occurs when a build up of internal resistance causes the potentiostat to stop charging the cell. Failure above a potential of 3.5 V could be due to a side reaction forming a resistive electrode–electrolyte interface layer, or breakdown at the surface of the cathode material, such as Cu dissolution into the organic electrolyte in the form of Cu^+ which has been shown to occur in this same voltage range.^{35–37} The unique local voltage minimum observed on the first discharge could correspond to the nucleation of metallic copper, as discussed in the next section.




Figure 3. (a) Galvanostatic cycling of ball milled $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ between 4.8 and 1 V at a $C/60$ rate, (b) between 4.8 and 1.7 V at a $C/60$ rate, and (c) between 4.8 and 2 V at a $C/30$ rate.




Figure 4. Operando X-ray diffraction measured every 20 min during a galvanostatic charge to 4.8 V and discharge to 2 V of ball milled $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ at a C/10 rate. Below the incremental XRD scans, the peaks corresponding to the $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ active material and the peaks corresponding to the beryllium window of the operando cell are displayed. The most obvious changes during cycling are shaded.




Figure 5. (a) Rietveld refinement of microwave-prepared Li_2CuPO_4 . (b) Scanning electron micrograph of assisted-microwave-prepared Li_2CuPO_4 showing a majority of particles ranging in size from 1 to 10 μm in diameter, and (c) the same particles after ball milling with carbon black prior to electrochemical testing. Ball milled particles range in size from submicrometer to 3 μm in diameter.

$\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$. $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ is a known material that is closest in composition to delithiated Li_2CuPO_4 . LiCuPO_4 has never been directly synthesized, however the composition of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ is close, and still has Cu in a 2+ oxidation state. The structure of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ is shown in Figure 1d, and a Rietveld refinement fit of the solid-state synthesized polycrystalline material is shown in Figure 7a. SEM images of the particles before and after ball milling with carbon black are given in Figure 7b,c and show as-synthesized $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ particles ranging in size from 5 μm to almost 50 μm in diameter. After ball milling with carbon black, particles range in size from submicrometer to 5 μm in diameter.




Figure 6. Galvanostatic cycling of (a) ball milled, microwave-prepared Li_2CuPO_4 at a rate of C/60 and (b) ball milled, furnace-prepared Li_2CuPO_4 at a rate of C/60.

Galvanostatic cycling of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ is shown in Figure 8. The first discharge exhibits an impressive gravimetric capacity of over 300 mAh g^{-1} . However, capacity fades significantly on subsequent cycles. Since 300 mAh g^{-1} exceeds the theoretical capacity of 188 mAh g^{-1} calculated for single electron redox, it is probable that Cu^{2+} is being reduced to metallic copper at around 2.25 V. A conversion reaction is consistent with our computational prediction that Li would not intercalate into the $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ structure. When discharging CuF_2 , a one-step lithiation reaction forming Cu^0 and LiF occurs at a potential as high as 3.25 V, which further supports that metallic Cu could be forming at 2.25 V in $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$.^{35,38} The additional capacity could also be due to side reactions or degradation of the electrolyte.




Figure 7. (a) Rietveld refinement fit of the powder X-ray diffraction pattern of the $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$. (b) Scanning electron micrograph of as-synthesized $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ particles ranging in size from $5 \mu\text{m}$ to almost $50 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter. (c) Scanning electron micrograph of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ after ball milling with carbon black and prior to electrochemical testing. Particles range in size from submicrometer to $5 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter.




Figure 8. Galvanostatic cycling of ball milled $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ at a $C/60$ rate between 1.5 and 4.2 V.

The voltage profile of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ is similar to that of Li_2CuPO_4 , which makes sense due to their similar compositions. They both show a local voltage minimum around 2.25 V, at least in the first discharge, which could correspond to the nucleation of metallic copper.

Another important challenge with utilizing copper redox in an intercalation compound (as opposed to a conversion compound) is that the different oxidation states of Cu favor different coordination. Cu^+ has a d^{10} electron configuration and prefers tetrahedral coordination (Figure 1a,b) or linear coordination (Figure 9a), while Cu^{2+} is d^9 and prefers square planar (Figure 1c,d), or highly Jahn–Teller distorted octahedral coordination (Figure 9b). Cu^{3+} is d^8 and also prefers square planar coordination (Figure 9c). The different coordination preferences can contribute to structural degradation and irreversible cycling, as shown for Li_2CuPO_4 and $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ in Figures 6 and 8, respectively. Similar degradation mechanisms have been shown to contribute to the irreversibility of redox compounds containing Mn^{3+} , most notably LiMn_2O_4 spinels.^{41,42}




Figure 9. (a) The crystal structure of CuLaO_2 , in which Cu^+ has linear coordination.³⁹ (b) The crystal structure of La_2CuO_4 , in which Cu^{2+} sits in a highly Jahn–Teller distorted octahedra.⁴⁰ (c) The crystal structure of AgCuO_2 , in which Cu^{3+} has square planar coordination.³³

CONCLUSIONS

Three compositions of lithium copper phosphate: $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$, Li_2CuPO_4 , and $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ were evaluated as high-voltage cathode materials for Li-ion batteries. An assisted-microwave preparation for Li-ion batteries was developed, as well as a solid-state, furnace synthesis for $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ using copper oxalate as a precursor. $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ and $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ were electrochemically cycled for the first time. A number of complications prevented useful electrochemical cycling of these materials. Li could not be significantly deintercalated from $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ likely because an oxidation state of Cu^{3+} could not be stabilized in the structure. Discharging to lower voltages and attempting to insert more Li resulted in an irreversible conversion reaction below 2 V. Li_2CuPO_4 could not be cycled much higher than 3.5 V, above which more significant redox activity was expected. In the literature reported cycling of CuF_2 , much of the irreversibility was attributed to the dissolution of Cu^+ into organic electrolyte.³⁵ $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ was then prepared as the closest known composition to that of delithiated Li_2CuPO_4 . Upon initial discharge, a very large capacity of over 300 mAh g^{-1} was observed. However, because the observed capacity was significantly greater than the theoretical capacity of 188 mAh g^{-1} , it is likely that much of the observed capacity is due to reduction of the Cu^{2+} to metallic copper at a potential of 2.5 V. Reduction to metallic copper would also explain the continued capacity fade observed on subsequent cycling. A conversion reaction of this nature is consistent with the computational prediction that Li intercalation is unlikely in the $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ structure. In the search for high-voltage, energy dense, intercalation-type cathode materials, copper-redox-based materials should be avoided.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01406>.

Rietveld refinement fit of the powder X-ray diffraction pattern of $\text{Li}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$, a precursor prepared *via* solid-state methods for the reaction to form Li_2CuPO_4 ; Rietveld refinement and microscopy of the furnace prepared

Li_2CuPO_4 ; heat map of $\text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7$ operando XRD measured during galvanostatic cycling; operando XRD measured during a galvanostatic discharge of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$; galvanostatic cycling of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$ with a lower voltage cutoff of 2.5 V (above conversion plateau), and in the range from 2 to 4.8 V (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Kristin A. Persson – Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-2495-5509; Email: kapersson@lbl.gov

Ram Seshadri – Materials Research Laboratory and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States; Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-5858-4027; Email: seshadri@mrl.ucsb.edu

Authors

Rebecca C. Vincent – Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States; Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-6177-8164

Jimmy-Xuan Shen – Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-2743-7531

Molleigh B. Preefer – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-3699-8613

Justin Lin – Materials Research Laboratory and Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

Fabian Seeler – BASF SE, Ludwigshafen 67056, Germany
Kerstin Schierle-Arndt – BASF SE, Ludwigshafen 67056, Germany

Complete contact information is available at:
<https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01406>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by BASF Corporation through the California Research Alliance. The research reported here made use of shared facilities of the National Science Foundation (NSF) Materials Research Science and Engineering Center (MRSEC) at UC Santa Barbara, NSF DMR 1720256, a member of the Materials Research Facilities Network (www.mrfn.org). The computational infrastructure work gratefully acknowledges the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division under Contract No. DE-AC02-05-CH11231 (Materials Project program KC23MP). R.C.V.

acknowledges the NSF for a Graduate Research Fellowship, Grant No. 1650114.

REFERENCES

- (1) Blomgren, G. The Development and Future of Lithium Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2017**, *164*, A5019–25.
- (2) Hayner, C. M.; Zhao, X.; Kung, H. H. Materials for Rechargeable Lithium-ion Batteries. *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.* **2012**, *3*, 445–71.
- (3) Goodenough, J. B.; Park, K. S. The Li-Ion Rechargeable Battery: A Perspective. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 1167–1176.
- (4) Hautier, G.; Jain, A.; Ong, S. P.; Kang, B.; Moore, C.; Doe, R.; Ceder, G. Phosphates as Lithium-ion Battery Cathodes: An Evaluation Based on High-Throughput *ab initio* Calculations. *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 3495–3508.
- (5) Padhi, A. K.; Nanjundaswamy, K. S.; Goodenough, J. B. Phospho-Olivines as Positive-Electrode Materials for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1997**, *144*, 1188–94.
- (6) Morgan, D.; Van der Ven, A.; Ceder, G. Li Conductivity in Li_xMPO_4 (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) Olivine Materials. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2004**, *7*, A30–32.
- (7) Wang, Y.; He, P.; Zhou, H. Olivine LiFePO_4 : Development and Future. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 805–17.
- (8) Manthiram, A. Phospho-Olivine Cathodes for Lithium-ion Batteries. *Electrochem. Soc. Interface* **2009**, *18*, 44–47.
- (9) Yamada, A.; Chung, S. C.; Hinokuma, K. Optimized LiFePO_4 for Lithium Battery Cathodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2001**, *148*, A224–29.
- (10) Huang, H.; Yin, S.-C.; Nazar, L. F. Approaching Theoretical Capacity of LiFePO_4 at Room Temperature at High Rates. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2001**, *4*, A170–72.
- (11) Chueh, W. C.; El Gabaly, F.; Sugar, J. D.; Bartelt, N. C.; McDaniel, A. H.; Fenton, K. R.; Zavadil, K. R.; Tyliszczak, T.; Lai, W.; McCarty, K. F. Intercalation Pathway in Many-particle LiFePO_4 Electrode Revealed by Nanoscale State-of-charge Mapping. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 866–872.
- (12) Jaiswal, A.; Horne, C.; Chang, O.; Zhang, W.; Kong, W.; Wang, E.; Chern, T.; Doeff, M. Nanoscale LiFePO_4 and $\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$ for High Rate Li-Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2009**, *156*, A1041.
- (13) Li, Y.; Meyer, S.; Lim, J.; Lee, S. C.; Gent, W. E.; Marchesini, S.; Krishnan, H.; Tyliszczak, T.; Shapiro, D.; Kilcoyne, A. L. D.; Chueh, W. C. Effects of Particle Size, Electronic Connectivity, and Incoherent Nanoscale Domains on the Sequence of Lithiation in LiFePO_4 Porous Electrodes. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 6591–6597.
- (14) Goodenough, J. B.; Kim, Y. Challenges for Rechargeable Li Batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, 578–603.
- (15) Amine, K.; Yasuda, H.; Yamachi, M. Olivine LiCoPO_4 as 4.8 V Electrode Material for Lithium Batteries. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **1999**, *3*, 178–79.
- (16) Li, G.; Azuma, H.; Tohda, M. LiMnPO_4 as the Cathode for Lithium Batteries. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2002**, *5*, A135–37.
- (17) Li, W.; Song, B.; Manthiram, A. High-voltage Positive Electrode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 3006–59.
- (18) Choi, D.; Wang, D.; Bae, I.-T.; Xiao, J.; Nie, Z.; Wang, W.; Viswanathan, V. V.; Lee, Y. J.; Zhang, J.-G.; Graff, G. L.; et al. LiMnPO_4 Nanoplate Grown via Solid-State Reaction in Molten Hydrocarbon for Li-Ion Battery Cathode. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 2799–2805.
- (19) Snyder, K.; Raguz, B.; Hoffbauer, W.; Glaum, R.; Ehrenberg, H.; Herklotz, M. Lithium Copper(I) Orthophosphates $\text{Li}_{3-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{PO}_4$: Synthesis, Crystal Structures, and Electrochemical Properties. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2014**, *640*, 944–51.
- (20) Shen, J.-X.; Horton, M.; Persson, K. A. A Charge-Density-Based General Cation Insertion Algorithm for Generating New Li-Ion Cathode Materials. *npj Comput. Mater.* **2020**, *6*, 1–7.
- (21) Voronin, V. I.; Sherstobitova, E. A.; Blatov, V. A.; Shekhtman, G. S. Lithium-Cation Conductivity and Crystal Structure of Lithium Diphosphate. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2014**, *211*, 170–75.

- (22) Levin, E. E.; Grebenkemper, J. H.; Pollock, T. M.; Seshadri, R. Protocols for High Temperature Assisted-Microwave Preparation of Inorganic Compounds. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 7151–59.
- (23) Kim, H.; Lee, S.; Park, Y.-U.; Kim, H.; Kim, J.; Jeon, S.; Kang, K. Neutron and X-ray Diffraction Study of Pyrophosphate-Based $\text{Li}_{2-x}\text{MP}_2\text{O}_7$ ($M = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}$) for Lithium Rechargeable Battery Electrodes. *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 3930–3937.
- (24) Spirlet, M. R.; Rebizant, J.; Liegeois-Duyckaerts, M. Structure of Lithium Copper Pyrophosphate. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **1993**, *C49*, 209–211.
- (25) Cui, L.; Pan, S.; Han, J.; Dong, X.; Zhou, Z. Synthesis, Crystal Structure and Optical Properties of $\text{Li}_2\text{Cu}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4$. *Solid State Sci.* **2011**, *13*, 1308–04.
- (26) Coelho, A. A. TOPAS and TOPAS-Academic: an Optimization Program Integrating Computer Algebra and Crystallographic Objects Written in C++. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2018**, *51*, 210–218.
- (27) Momma, K.; Izumi, F. VESTA: a Three-dimensional Visualization System for Electronic and Structural Analysis. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2008**, *41*, 653–658.
- (28) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of Ab-initio Total Energy Calculations for Metals and Semiconductors Using a Plane-wave Basis Set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, *6*, 15–50.
- (29) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865.
- (30) Mathew, K.; Montoya, J. H.; Faghaninia, A.; Dwarakanath, S.; Aykol, M.; Tang, H.; Chu, L.-h.; Smidt, T.; Bocklund, B.; Horton, M.; et al. Atomate: A High-level Interface to Generate, Execute, and Analyze Computational Materials Science Workflows. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2017**, *139*, 140–152.
- (31) Van der Ven, A.; Aydinol, M. K.; Ceder, G.; Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. First-Principles Investigation of Phase Stability in Li_xCoO_2 . *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1998**, *58*, 2975–2987.
- (32) Urban, A.; Seo, D.-H.; Ceder, G. Computational Understanding of Li-Ion Batteries. *npj Comput. Mater.* **2016**, *2*, 16002.
- (33) Curda, J.; Klein, W.; Jansen, M. AgCuO_2 – Synthesis, Crystal Structure, and Structural Relationships with CuO and $\text{Ag}^I\text{Ag}^{III}\text{O}_2$. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2001**, *162*, 220–224.
- (34) Choy, J.-H.; Jung, D.-Y.; Kim, S.-J.; Choi, Q. W.; Demazeau, G. Physicochemical Distinction Between Cu^{3+} and O_2^{2-} in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5+\delta}$ Lattice Upon Oxidation Reaction of Bromide and Spectroscopic Analyses. *Phys. C* **1991**, *185–189*, 763–764.
- (35) Hua, X.; Robert, R.; Du, L.-S.; Wiaderek, K. M.; Leskes, M.; Chapman, K. W.; Chupas, P. J.; Grey, C. P. Comprehensive Study of the CuF_2 Conversion Reaction Mechanism in a Lithium Ion Battery. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 15169–15184.
- (36) Whitehead, A. H.; Schreiber, M. Current Collectors for Positive Electrodes of Lithium-Based Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2005**, *152*, A2105–A2113.
- (37) Kawakita, J.; Kobayashi, K. Anodic Polarization Behavior of Copper in Propylene Carbonate. *J. Power Sources* **2001**, *101*, 47–52.
- (38) Omenya, F.; Zagarella, N. J.; Rana, J.; Zhang, H.; Siu, C.; Zhou, H.; Wen, B.; Chernova, N. A.; Piper, L. F. J.; Zhou, G.; et al. Intrinsic Challenges to the Electrochemical Reversibility of the High Energy Density Copper(II) Fluoride Cathode Material. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *2*, 5243–5253.
- (39) Haas, H.; Kordes, E. Cu^{1+} -Haltige Doppeloxide mit Seltenen Erdmetallen I. *Z. Kristallogr.* **1969**, *129*, 259–270.
- (40) Delgado, J.; McMullan, R.; de Delgado, G. D.; Wuensch, B.; Picone, P.; Jenssen, H.; Gabbe, D. Single-Crystal Neutron-Diffraction Study of $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{0.95}\text{Li}_{0.05}\text{O}_4$. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1988**, *37*, 9343.
- (41) Rodríguez-Carvajal, J.; Rouse, G.; Masquelier, C.; Hervieu, M. Electronic Crystallization in a Lithium Battery Material: Columnar Ordering of Electrons and Holes in the Spinel LiMn_2O_4 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *81*, 4660–4663.
- (42) Nakayama, M.; Nogami, M. A First-Principles Study on Phase Transition Induced by Charge Ordering of $\text{Mn}^{3+}/\text{Mn}^{4+}$ in Spinel LiMn_2O_4 . *Solid State Commun.* **2010**, *150*, 1329–1333.